



Longstanton Parish Council

Longstanton Village Hall, 24 High Street, Longstanton CB24 3BS Tel: 01954 782323

clerk@longstanton-pc.gov.uk www.longstanton-pc.gov.uk

Chairman: Cllr Dan delaMare-Lyon **Vice Chairman:** Cllr Steve Burns **Locum Clerk:** Ben Stoehr

Notice of Meeting: Full Council

Time: 7.30pm

Date: 11th October 2021

Venue: Longstanton Village Hall, 24 High Street, Longstanton CB24 3BS

To All Members of the Council

You are hereby summoned to attend the Annual Meeting of Longstanton Parish Council for the purpose of transacting the business as set out below. Any member not able to attend should send their apologies in writing before the meeting.

Members: 11 Vacancy: 0 Quorum: 4

The meeting is open to members of the public (including the press), but is not a public meeting.

B Stoehr

Mr Ben Stoehr – Locum Clerk

6 October 2021

Agenda

21-22/77 Chairman's welcome

21-22/78 Apologies for Absence

21-22/79 Councillors' Declarations of Interest

- To receive declarations of interest from Councillors on items on the agenda
- For declarations of interest received, Councillors to inform the Chairman if they wish to speak on the matter during public participation and/or at the agenda item prior to discussion.
- To receive written requests for dispensations for disclosable pecuniary interests (if any)
- To grant any requests for dispensation as appropriate

21-22/80 Public Participation (10minutes in total)

- Open Forum to provide an opportunity for members of the public to raise questions for future agendas or on items that are on the current agenda.
- To allow any members of the public and Councillors declaring an interest to address the meeting in relation to the business to be transacted at that meeting.
- At the close of this item, members of the public will no longer be permitted to address the Council unless invited to do so by the Chairman. Limited to 3 minutes per person, 10 minutes in total.

21-22/81 Approval of Minutes

- a) To approve the minutes from the meetings held on 13th September and 21st September 2021.
- b) Matters to report from previous minutes

21-22/82 Planning

- a) [21/03943/HFUL](#) 127 High Street Two storey side extension
- b) [S/3854/19/OL](#) Digital Park Station Road Planning Application for residential development of up to 80 dwellings and associated infrastructure (considering access only) following demolition of existing buildings (amendment)
- c) [S/2011/14/NMA4](#) Northstowe Phase 2 Non Material Amendment on application S/2011/14/OL for modifications to the parameter plans
- d) [21/04283/HFUL](#) 37 Thornhill Place Demolition of existing elan too. Erection of new 2 storey wrap around extension to the side and rear. Single storey rear extension
- e) [21/1297/TTPO](#) Pole Barn Farm Woodside Ash -Lift the lower lateral branches to 4m above the road

- f) [21/04265/CL2PD](#) 41 Stevensons Road Certificate of lawfulness under S192 for the removal of existing conservatory and construction of single storey rear extension
- g) To note SCDC decision notices received since the last meeting
- h) Fews Lane SCDC Planning Committee - Update

21-22/83 County and District Council Matters

- a) To receive the reports from both the County and District Cllrs

21-22/84 Parish Council Matters

- a) To receive the risk assessments and consider any works required
- b) Update on Hatton Road Ponds
- c) Kingfisher Pond –update & report on Northstowe Town Council meeting on 22 September 21
- d) Report from Open Spaces meeting held on 29th Sept 21
- e) Home Farm Central Open Space plan
- f) Additional tree planting on Home Farm Road
- g) Clerk Employment pack and plan to advertise
- h) B1050 Cycle Route
- i) Ox-Cam Arc Spatial Framework Consultation
- j) Queens Green Canopy
- k) Highways update

21-22/85 Correspondence (For information only)

Various NALC, CAPALC, CCC and SCDC newsletters and other correspondence has been circulated to Cllrs since the meeting held in July.

DATE OF NEXT MEETING: Monday 8 November 2021

Any business to be considered at the Parish Council meeting must be delivered to the Clerk for inclusion on the agenda at least 7 days prior to the meeting.

Longstanton Parish Council



Minutes of the Meeting of the Council, held at Longstanton
Longstanton Village Hall, Longstanton on Monday 13 September 2021 at
7.30pm.

Present: Cllr de la Mare-Lyon (Chairman), Cllr Burns, Cllr Baker, Cllr AM Brash-Hall, Cllr T Brash-Hall, Cllr Dickens, Cllr Ferreira, Cllr Roman, Cllr Wycherley.

In attendance: District Cllr Cheung Johnson, County Cllr Thompson, Mr B Stoehr (Locum Clerk) and 2 members of the public.

21-22/62 Chairman's Welcome
The Chairman welcomed the Councillors and public to the meeting

21-22/63 Apologies for Absence
Apologies were received from Cllr McPhater (personal) and Cllr Street (breakdown).

21-22/64 Councillors' Declarations of Interest
Declarations of interest from Councillors on items on the agenda:
Cllr Wycherley declared an interest in item 21-22/67g as a neighbour of the site.
Requests to speak: None.
To receive requests for dispensations: None.
Grant of dispensations: None.

21-22/65 Public Participation Session (10 min)
A member of the public spoke about the aquifer problems and that Northstowe phases 3a and 3b are due to be approved in the coming months. It was reported that they have been told no additional environmental impact assessment was needed. They asked the Council to allocate money to facilitate an engineering survey to investigate if the drainage works in Northstowe have been built in accordance with the approved plans.
Another member of the public spoke to item 67g and stated that the Fews Lane Judicial Review had been turned down.

21-22/66 Approval of Minutes
a) To approve the minutes from the meeting held on 12th July, 20th July and 12th August 2021
RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of 12th July 2021 be approved and signed by the Chairman but it was noted that on item 49h that it is better for the wildlife if the ponds dry out more. (Prop GD, 2nd BB, unanimous)
RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of 20th July 2021 be approved and signed by the Chairman (Prop BB, 2nd AW, unanimous)
RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of 12th August 2021 be approved and signed by the Chairman (Prop DDL, 2nd AW, unanimous)

b) Matters to report from previous minutes
None

21-22/67 Planning
a) S/0388/12/COND12 Northstowe Phase 1 Submission of details required by condition 12 (parts ii and iii) (Archaeology) of outline planning permission S/0388/12/OL (Info only)
Noted

- b) 20/04232/OUT Land South West Of 50 Mills Lane Outline planning for the erection of 6 self build dwellings with some matters reserved except for access, layout and scale (amendment)
RESOLVED to submit the same comments as the previous application. It was noted that the site had been removed from the Call for Sites (Prop SB, 2nd BB, unanimous)
- c) 21/1105/TTCA Pole Barn Farm Woodside Tree works
Noted
- d) To note SCDC planning decision notices received since the last meeting
i) 21/02898/HFUL 12 Ladywalk Single storey front and rear extensions and garage conversion – SCDC Permission Granted
Noted
- e) SCDC response to complaint
The complaint response from SCDC was noted.
- It was noted that the SCDC Planning Committee meeting was abandoned due to technical reasons and that it was not possible for the public to have an opportunity for representation. It was not known when the meeting would be reconvened or if this would be before the Planning Inspectorate deadline.
RESOLVED to ask the Planning Committee for feedback on what would have been presented and to ask when the meeting would be reconvened. (Prop DDL, 2nd TBH, carried with 1 abstention)
- f) Update on Local Plan Call For Sites (Consultation 1/11/21 to 13/12/21)
Noted
- g) Update on Fews Lane planning appeals & SCDC Planning Committee
Taken under item e.

21-22/68

County and District Council Matters

- a) To receive the report from the County and District Councillors
District Cllr Cheung Johnson reported on the meeting with Homes England and stated that they are hoping the B1050 roundabout would be connected by November but is currently being dealt with by legal departments.
It was reported that the Northstowe Water Park is now open.
Concerns about noise from piledriving works at Northstowe, the ongoing issues with water levels in Longstanton and issues blue-green algae in the balancing ponds were conveyed to Cllr Cheung Johnson. She agreed to investigate these issues and report back.
County Cllr Thompson reported on the meeting with Homes England. A meeting to discuss the bridleway works from Wilson's Road to the bridge is being scheduled. Concerns about the busses on the busway not stopping at Longstanton and the high costs were raised. It was noted that the busses are the responsibility of the Combined Authority. A meeting is to be arranged with Stagecoach and the Mayor so that the issues could be addressed.
District Cllr Cheung Johnson and County Councillor Thompson left the meeting.

21-22/69

Parish Council Matters

- a) Central Open Space - To consider the next steps
It was noted that Brookfield had been asked to cut the paths on the Central Open Space.
Cllr delaMare-Lyon reported on the meeting with Brookfield and noted the suggestions received for the land. RESOLVED to restart the Sustainability Working group and that wildflower planting and security of the land would be priorities.
- b) To receive the risk assessments and consider any works required
No further reports received.

- c) To receive the play inspection reports
RESOLVED to ask Brookfield to do the rectification items and ask Brookfield to reinstall the swing which were taken down due to COVID restrictions.
- d) Update on Hatton Road Ponds
It was reported that an update is expected at the end of the month.
- e) Over 60's – Request for funding
RESOLVED to contribute up to £480 towards the scheme. (Prop BB, 2nd DDL, unanimous)
- f) Heritage Building – Proposal that LPC, NTC and LDHS act as a steering group to the project
RESOLVED to appoint Cllr McPhater to take this forward.
- g) Resident request for recycling litter bins in High Street
It was believed by councillors that all bins in Northstowe are emptied into the same section of the bin lorry and not recycled. It was agreed to find out what happens to the waste from these bins before reviewing further.

Cllr Dickens reported that he had spoken to the Pavilion and the Co-op Head office. The Pavilion had agreed to empty the bins on the rec, the Co-op had declined to empty the bins on the Green. Cllr Dickens agreed to discuss this further with the shop manager.
- i) Trees on Recreation Ground at rear of Colesfield
Cllr Brash-Hall raised a query that the trees may not be owned by the Parish Council. It was RESOLVED to investigate the ownership of the trees and if they are the Parish Councils to seek quotes to reduce, in the mean time write to the resident and inform them of the situation and that they are welcome to carry out the works themselves if they would like it done quickly.
- j) Additional tree planting on Home Farm Road
To be tabled at a future meeting
- k) Neson's Crescent POS – Cutting of Hedge on Over Road
To be tabled at a future meeting
- l) Update on new clerk recruitment
Cllr Wycherley presented the updated recruitment pack. It was RESOLVED update the papers with some minor amendments before consideration at the next meeting.
- h) Kingfisher Pond –request for further actions
RESOLVED to engage with Northstowe Town Council to seek their support with the issues,
RESOLVED that up to £5000 is delegated to the Clerk to seek quotes and commission a engineers report to review if the drainage systems have been built in accordance with the approved plans.
RESOLVED that Cllr Brash-Hall should seek guidance from HR Wallingford.
RESOLVED to write a letter to SCDC stating that Council intend to commission a review.

21-22/70

Correspondence (for information only)

It was noted that various items of correspondence had been received and circulated to Councillors since the last meeting.

There was no other business and the meeting closed at 10pm.

SignedChairmandate

Longstanton Parish Council



Minutes of the Meeting of the Council, held at Longstanton
Longstanton Village Hall, Longstanton on Monday 13 September 2021 at
7.30pm.

Present: Cllr de la Mare-Lyon (Chairman), Cllr Burns, Cllr AM Brash-Hall, Cllr Wycherley and Cllr McPhater.

In attendance: None.

21-22/71 Apologies for Absence

Apologies were received from Cllr T Brash-Hall, Cllr Ferreira and Cllr Roman(breakdown).

21-22/72 Councillors' Declarations of Interest

Declarations of interest from Councillors on items on the agenda:

None

Requests to speak: None.

To receive requests for dispensations: None.

Grant of dispensations: None.

21-22/73 Public Participation Session (10 min)

No public in attendance

21-22/74 Central Open Space Next Steps

It was noted that there are 6 access points at least 3 of which are wide enough for vehicular access so need securing discussion of options to be included in briefing document action below.

Requirement for the site:

- Access point for Anglian Water ditch maintenance equipment – this is presently by the path off the COOP car park – there are no plans to change this.
- 5M boundary for access by Anglian Water to clear the ditch approximately Biannually
- LEAP (Locally Equipped Area of Play) – this was proposed for the area highlighted below

Actions:

- a) Brookfield to maintain the Central Open Space for the remainder of this calendar year with approximately 4 more cuts to create and maintain the footpaths at a cost of £50 plus VAT per cut plus a complete cut of the open space at a cost of £300 plus VAT.
- b) Request quote from Brookfield to seed the 5m border of the site with wildflower seed
- c) Invite Northstowe Town Council to establish the planned joint Sustainability Working Party and include
- d) Invite Nicky Chapman to be part of any group working on developing the Home Farm Open Space based on her previous involvement, relevant expertise and desire to work with the Parish Council.
- e) Gather information on the site, thoughts for the area and share with the Parish Council and any Working Party details of the Open Space
- f) Communicate proposed plan within the parish potentially including a noticeboard on the open space

21-22/75 Tree Survey Actions

RESOLVED to accept the quote from Brookfield for the category A & B tree works.

21-22/76

Employment Matters –Hiring of Replacement Clerk

The draft application pack was discussed, and it was agreed that Cllr Wycherley would make amendments before consideration at the October Parish Council Meeting.
Discussion on Interview panel, Onboarding, and ongoing management to be covered in next meeting

There was no other business and the meeting closed at 8.56pm

SignedChairmandate

From: Simon Manville
Sent: 01 October 2021 11:26
To: Longstanton PC <clerk@longstanton-pc.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: B1050 cycle route

Good morning,

Tam Parry mentioned that you had both requested updates about the B1050 cycleway at the Open Space working group meeting yesterday.

The current plan is to begin construction in Spring 2022. The detailed design are just being finalised and costings are being checked. There are a few details and risks to iron out before this construction timeline can be realised. The key risks to the project timeline are as follows:

1. It depends on the completion of works to the Northstowe link road roundabout that are being undertaken by J SISK and are outside my control.
2. There is a piece of land at the southern end of the route which needs to be designated as highway in order that the preferred route can be constructed. I am in negotiation with National Highways (formerly Highways England) as well as the landowners.
3. A recent water vole survey has found that water voles are present in the vicinity and as a protected species construction can only take place at certain times of year and once a licence has been acquired for the works. I am working with the ecologists to obtain a licence but this has been complicated by the fact that some previous works appear to have damaged the water vole's habitat so some remediation work will be required.

Once the details around construction have been ironed out and I have greater certainty about the dates for scheme delivery I intend to spread the word widely and would appreciate your assistance in doing so.

Let me know if you have any further questions at this time. Otherwise I will be in touch again in due course.

Best regards
Simon

“An end to the Oxford-Cambridge Arc while supporting fair, democratic, local development”

The Oxford-Cambridge Arc consists of the five rural counties of Oxfordshire, Buckinghamshire, Northamptonshire, Bedfordshire and Cambridgeshire.

The Stop the Arc’s campaign aims are:

- **To challenge the concept of the Arc as an economic entity or as a basis for planning**
- **To scrap the target of up to one million new houses by 2050**
- **To promote a fully electrified East West Rail for both passengers and freight**
- **To preserve and enhance the natural environment, and**
- **To involve local people in deciding their future through genuine consultation**

Economic Justification

The **Government** supported the 2018 **National Infrastructure Commission’s** (NIC’s) recommendations for transformational development of the Ox-Cam Arc area by 2050, involving one million new houses, 1.1 million new jobs, an East West Railway and a new Oxford-Cambridge Expressway, all with the aim of increasing economic output by £163 billion per annum through a ‘Silicon Valley’ effect (technically, ‘agglomeration’)¹. Development in the South and East of the country, it was claimed, would result in ‘levelling up’ across the entire nation, and would be associated with a minimum of ‘doubling nature’, improving wildlife and the quality of life of all present and future Arc residents.

STOP THE ARC’s research shows that most (90%) of the claimed economic benefits for the Arc arise from assuming a larger and more productive work-force (something that could be developed anywhere in the country), and less than 10% arises from an ‘agglomeration effect’² which analysis has shown doesn’t work on the scale of a single county, let alone five³. Investing in the Midlands and North would produce greater benefits (£183 billion p.a.)⁴ than in the Ox-Cam Arc (£163 billion p.a.)⁵ and would not involve the movement of hundreds of thousands of people from other parts of the country into the already over-heated Arc, with its expensive housing. Many studies have identified alternative growth corridors, arcs or hubs for such development⁶ and the UK2070 Commission Report⁷ has shown that investing yet more in the South and East of the country results in a lose-lose situation, and increasing inequality across the nation: spreading investment country-wide results in a win-win situation for both the North and South of the country, and decreasing inequality. A 2016 survey of the real Silicon Valley revealed that 46% of residents wanted to leave the Valley because of impossibly high house prices⁸, and the low wages for many non hi-tech workers.

Housing

The **Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government’s** Arc plans involve building houses at twice the current rate for at least the next 30 years in order to achieve the National Infrastructure Commission’s (NIC’s) ambition of one million new houses across the Arc by 2050, almost one quarter of which (23%⁵) are earmarked for London commuters who will contribute nothing at all to the economic output of the Arc.

STOP THE ARC calculates that the one million houses total is equivalent to more than eight new towns the size of Milton Keynes, or more than 17 new Oxfords or 18 new Cambridges⁹. Delivering these ambitious housing targets would increase the total housing stock of Oxon by 105%; of affected parts of Bucks and Beds by 66%; Northants by 74% and Cambs by 81%, whilst the Office of National Statistics predicts an increase in the total number of UK households of only 16% in the same period to 2050. Even the recently revised Government’s housing targets (the new algorithm) estimates a housing ‘need’ across the Arc of only c. 20,000 p.a.¹⁰ not the 30,000 p.a. of Arc plans. The scale of Arc ambitions must be reduced to a level that local economies, communities and their natural environments can support. There is no evidence that building more houses significantly reduces house prices (if anything, the reverse¹¹). Virtually nothing is said in all the Arc documents about building social houses on publicly owned land, a method that has been shown capable of providing inexpensive, better than zero-carbon houses at low cost to Local Authorities¹².

Transport

The **Department for Transport’s Highways England** spent at least three years developing plans for the Ox-Cam Expressway, at a cost of £28 million¹³. England’s Economic Heartland now has alternative road and rail plans to increase connectivity across the region but ‘only to meet existing needs’¹⁴. These ‘needs’ do not include all the cars arising from the additional houses of Arc plans. If increasing congestion is to be avoided, a significant modal shift from private to public transport must occur. East-West Rail is only one ingredient here; private bus companies will also have to increase their service frequency and geographical coverage.

STOP THE ARC began life as the **No Expressway Group** and showed that the economic case for the expressway was even worse than the Government’s own calculations suggested. The Expressway was formally cancelled in March 2021.

The modal shift from private to public transport will be easier to achieve if new housing developments are at high density around multi-modal transport hubs, accessible by active travel (the ‘15 minute city’). East-West Rail should be fully electrified, and more freight should be shifted from road to rail. Rural bus services should be on an hourly frequency serving all villages above a minimum size¹⁵. Cycling and greenways should be encouraged for first-mile/last-mile connectivity within towns, and for connecting urban centres.

Countryside and Wildlife

The **Arc Environment Group** is responsible for developing plans to 'double nature' across the Arc¹⁶. This involves the principle, during housing and other developments, of net environmental gain as required by the new Environment Bill¹⁷, or the use of the presently untested natural capital approach, putting a monetary value on environmental goods and services¹⁸. Arc supporters have made it very clear that if there is no development, there will be no funds for protecting or improving Nature.

STOP THE ARC emphasises that net environmental gain involves the certain loss of habitat in one area (for houses etc) with the uncertain aim of replacing that loss elsewhere, on 'offset sites'. A recent survey of the global experience of offsetting shows that only one third of projects aimed at no net loss achieve that objective, and two thirds fail¹⁹. DEFRA's biodiversity metric, used in offsetting attempts, estimates biodiversity from habitat type, a crude and unreliable measure of real biodiversity²⁰. An imprecise metric applied to net gain ideas that often fail in practice is likely to result in green-washing of a business-as-usual agenda by developers, resulting in yet further declines in nature.

Democracy

Whitehall and **Ox-Cam Arc planners** are deciding all our futures behind a wall of almost total secrecy. No Arc plans have ever been put before Parliament for approval and not a single meeting has been held by any Ministry, Local Authority, Government quango or Local Enterprise Partnership with any one of the 3.7 million people who live in the Arc at present. Meanwhile, the Department for International Trade reports that international investors "*are queueing up to put money behind this*".

STOP THE ARC asks '*Why do overseas developers know more about Arc plans than the Arc residents themselves?*' Very few of the Arc planners and key decision-takers have been democratically elected for this task. There is a yawning democratic deficit in all Arc plans to date. The present Arc Spatial Framework consultation exercise is totally lacking in any details.

Climate Change

Climate change is the greatest existential threat to the future of the entire world. In the UK, transport accounts for the largest output (33% of the total) of greenhouse gases of all the energy sectors, and has shown the least decline since the 1990s²¹. Yet England's Economic Heartland's plans for the Arc will vastly increase the number of car journeys across the region (a recipe for future congestion²²), mitigated, it is claimed, by a decarbonisation strategy that will achieve a net zero carbon transport system by 2040²³. But decarbonisation plans at present are very sketchy.

STOP THE ARC says that a series of 5-year intermediate carbon reduction targets should be set, for both houses and transport systems, and further development should be conditional on meeting those targets.

Other planning considerations

The Covid pandemic will affect all future work practices in presently unpredictable ways. More home-working is likely to reduce the overall use of all transport systems, both public and private, but will increase demands on other parts of the infrastructure network (e.g. telecomms). The effects on all Arc plans are presently uncertain.

STOP THE ARC says that a correct response to these challenges is a flexible development program that can be adjusted as conditions change. With a decrease in movement (to and from work) and resulting greater localism, individuals and communities are likely to want to become more involved in deciding the future of the areas in which they live and work, and in the quality of the natural environment in which they spend most of their time.

Why should you listen to us? because we are already having an impact!

- As a small community group we raised awareness of the threat of the Ox-Cam expressway across all the affected areas, from Oxford to Milton-Keynes. One year after we presented our No Expressway Group petition (with over 15,000 signatures) to 10 Downing St, the expressway was officially cancelled.
- In the May 2021 Local elections we campaigned against members of the Arc Leadership Group (ALG) who were up for re-election. Two key members were voted out, and two more lost their place at the ALG table because their parties lost overall control of their Local Authorities. The electorate showed quite clearly that it refuses to have very high levels of development imposed on it without any consultation, as part of a Whitehall Plan that totally ignores its wishes.
- We spoke at 40 village meetings, involving c. 4,000 people about the Ox-Cam Arc plans before the expressway was cancelled.
- Post Covid we are giving a series of webinars to interested communities, showing how the economic case for the Arc is unfounded, the housing targets were never based on the needs of local businesses or even local communities, and how the proposals for greening the Arc through doubling nature are founded on the myth of net gain which hides a real loss of habitat stock to development.
- People enjoy living in the Arc because the major cities are surrounded by green belts and countryside that offer beautiful views and walks. Ox-Cam Arc over-development will destroy all this.
- No group other than **STOP THE ARC** is taking the campaign to the people affected by Arc plans, removing the veil of Whitehall secrecy to show what is being planned for their futures, but without any meaningful consultation to date.
- We will apply both top-down (at the political level) and bottom-up (at the grass roots, community level) approaches to make our views well known. We will explain Arc plans to communities, and we will speak truth to power.

-
- ¹ <https://nic.org.uk/app/uploads//Partnering-for-Prosperty.pdf>
- ² See economic analysis in <https://www.noexpressway.org/news-updates/2021/5/6/neg-talk-to-the-oxford-extinction-rebellion-group>
- ³ <https://www.cpier.org.uk/media/1671/cpier-report-151118-download.pdf>
- ⁴ <https://www.centreforcities.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Cities-Outlook-2020.pdf>
- ⁵ <https://nic.org.uk/app/uploads//Partnering-for-Prosperty.pdf>
- ⁶ <https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/globalassets/1.-member-firms/united-kingdom/pdf/publication/2014/where-growth-happens-the-high-growth-index-of-places.pdf>
http://www.smartgrowthuk.org/resources/downloads/Arc_Report_2.pdf
<https://cp.catapult.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Identifying-potential-growth-centres-across-Great-Britain.pdf>
- ⁷ <http://uk2070.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/UK2070-FINAL-REPORT.pdf>
- ⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Silicon_Valley
- ⁹ 2017 Housing Stock figures from ONS
- ¹⁰ Lichfields calculated the housing targets for each local authority following the December 2020 revise algorithm <https://lichfields.uk/grow-renew-protect-planning-for-the-future/how-many-homes-the-new-standard-method/#contents>
- ¹¹ See Fig. 2 in http://www.smartgrowthuk.org/resources/downloads/Smart_Growth_UK_Response_to_Changes_to_the_Current_Planning_System_Consultation.pdf
- ¹² <https://corporate.jctltd.co.uk/goldsmith-street/>
- ¹³ <https://www.oxfordmail.co.uk/news/19195434.oxford-cambridge-expressway-cost-taxpayer-28m-scrapped/>
- ¹⁴ The quote 'only to meet existing needs' was made by Naomi Green EEH Head of Technical Program following the release of this document in February 2021:
http://www.englandseconomicheartland.com/documents/405/EEH_Transport_Strategy_Connecting_People_Transforming_Journeys_AV.pdf
- ¹⁵ https://www.cpre.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/CPRE_Every-village-every-hour_executive-summary.pdf
- ¹⁶ https://www.semlep.com/modules/downloads/download.php?file_name=2306
- ¹⁷ <https://bills.parliament.uk/publications/41652/documents/310>
- ¹⁸ This paper gives a worked example of natural capital accounting and the use of a biodiversity metric: <https://www.forest-trends.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/BNG-in-CNCA-Resource-Paper-14-9-18-FINAL.pdf>
- ¹⁹ <https://conbio.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/conl.12664>
- ²⁰ This site has a number of downloadable files including and Excel spreadsheet that calculates the DEFRA metric:
<http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/5850908674228224>
- ²¹ <https://www.itsrc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/arc-main-report.pdf>
- ²² <https://www.itrc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/arc-main-report.pdf>
- ²³ http://www.englandseconomicheartland.com/documents/93/Pathways_to_Decarbonisation.pdf